arXiv:gr-qc/0409068v1 17 Sep 2004

On the Dirac field in the Palatini form of 1/R gravity

Dan N. Vollick
Department of Physics and Astronomy
and
Department of Mathematics and Statistics
Okanagan University College
3333 University Way
Kelowna, B.C.
V1V 1V7

Abstract

In recent papers [1, 2] I have argued that the observed cosmological acceleration can be accounted for by the inclusion of a $1/R$ term in the gravitational action in the Palatini formalism. Subsequently, Flanagan [3, 4] argued that this theory is equivalent to a scalar-tensor theory which produces corrections to the standard model that are ruled out experimentally.

In this article I examine the Dirac field coupled to 1/R gravity. The Dirac action contains the connection which was taken to be the Christoffel symbol, not an independent quantity, in the papers by Flanagan. Since the metric and connection are taken to be independent in the Palatini approach it is natural to allow the connection that appears in the Dirac action to be an independent quantity. This is the approach that is taken in this paper. The resulting theory is very different and much more complicated than the one discussed in Flanagan's papers.

Recently there have been several attempts to explain the observed cosmological acceleration [5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14] by including a $1/R$ term in the gravitational Lagrangian. Capozziello et al. [15] and Carroll et al. [16] used a purely metric variation and I [1] used a Palatini approach to obtain the field equations. In recent articles Flanagan [3, 4] has argued that the Palatini form of $1/R$ gravity is in conflict with results from particle physics experiments.

In this article I examine the Dirac field coupled to 1/R gravity in the Palatini formalism. In this approach the metric and the connection are taken to be independent quantities. Thus, it makes sense to take the connection that appears in the Dirac action to be independent. In his papers Flanagan has taken the metric and connection to be independent in the gravitational part of the action but has taken the connection to be the usual Christoffel symbol in the Dirac part of the action. This is, of course, mathematically consistent but it does not seem natural within the Palatini formalism. In this article I take the metric and connection to be independent in both parts of the action and show that the resulting theory is very different and much more complicated than the one discussed in Flanagan's papers. The calculations in this article follow van Nieuwenhuizen's [17] derivation of the field equations for a gravitino coupled to gravity.

Consider a four dimensional manifold M with metric $g_{\mu\nu}$ and tetrad $e^a{}_\mu$. The Riemann tensor is given by

$$R_{\mu\nu}{}^{ab} = \partial_\mu \omega_\nu{}^{ab} - \partial_\nu \omega_\mu{}^{ab} + \omega_\mu{}^{ac}\omega_{\nu c}{}^{b} - \omega_\nu{}^{ac}\omega_{\mu c}{}^{b} \ , \tag{1}$$

where $\omega_\mu{}^{ab}$ is the spin connection. The first tetrad postulate is given by

$$\partial_\mu e^a{}_\nu + \omega_\mu{}^a{}_b(e) e^b{}_\nu - \Gamma^\alpha_{\mu\nu}(g) e^a{}_\alpha = 0 \ , \tag{2}$$

where

$$\omega_\mu{}^{ab}(e) = \frac{1}{2}e^{a\nu}\left[\partial_\mu e^b{}_\nu - \partial_\nu e^b{}_\mu\right] - \frac{1}{2}e^{\nu b}\left[\partial_\mu e^a{}_\nu - \partial_\nu e^a{}_\mu\right] - \frac{1}{2}e^{a\rho}e^{b\sigma}e^c{}_\mu\left[\partial_\rho e_{c\sigma} - \partial_\sigma e_{c\rho}\right] \ , \tag{3}$$

and $\Gamma^\alpha_{\mu\nu}(g)$ is the symmetric Christoeffel connection. In a Palatini variation $\omega_\mu{}^{ab}$ and $\Gamma^\alpha_{\mu\nu}$ are not considered as functions of $e^a{}_\mu$ and $g_{\mu\nu}$. Thus, we introduce the second tetrad postulate

$$\partial_\mu e^a{}_\nu + \omega_\mu{}^a{}_b e^b{}_\nu - \Gamma^\alpha_{\mu\nu} e^a{}_\alpha = 0. \tag{4}$$

The gravitational Lagrangian is given by

$$L_G = \frac{e}{2\kappa^2} f(R) \ , \tag{5}$$

where

$$R = e_a{}^\mu e_b{}^\nu R_{\mu\nu}{}^{ab} \tag{6}$$

and $e = det(e^a{}_\mu)$. The matter Lagrangian will be taken to be

$$L_D = e\bar{\Psi}_e\left[i\gamma^\mu D_\mu - m\right]\Psi_e \ , \tag{7}$$

where $\gamma^\mu = e_a{}^\mu \gamma^a$, $\{\gamma^a \gamma^b\} = 2\eta^{ab}$,

$$D_\mu \Psi_e = \partial_\mu \Psi_e + \frac{1}{2}\omega_\mu{}^{ab}\Sigma_{ab}\Psi_e \tag{8}$$

and $\Sigma_{ab} = \frac{1}{4}[\gamma^a, \gamma^b]$ are the generators of the Dirac representation. Note that the Dirac Lagrangian depends on the spin connection. Varying the action with respect to $\bar{\Psi}_e$ gives the Dirac equation

$$i\gamma^\mu D_\mu \Psi_e - m\Psi_e = 0 \tag{9}$$

and varying the action with respect to the tetrad gives

$$f'(R)R_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2}f(R)g_{\mu\nu} = -i\kappa^2 \bar{\Psi}_e \gamma_\nu D_\mu \Psi_e \ , \tag{10}$$

where

$$R_{\mu\nu} = R_{\mu\beta}{}^{ab} e_{a\nu} e_b{}^\beta \ . \tag{11}$$

Note that the Ricci tensor and the energy-momentum tensor of the Dirac field are not symmetric. A nonsymmetric energy-momentum tensor is not unusual in a Palatini-tetrad variational approach. For example, the energy-momentum tensor of the gravitino is not symmetric in supergravity theories (see [17]).

Now consider varying the action with respect to the spin connection. It will be convenient to use

$$R = \frac{1}{4e}\epsilon^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta}\epsilon_{abcd} e^a{}_\mu e^b{}_\nu R_{\alpha\beta}{}^{cd} \tag{12}$$

instead of (6). The variation of the gravitational Lagrangian gives

$$\delta L_G = \frac{1}{2\kappa^2}\epsilon^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta}\epsilon_{abcd}\left(D_\beta \tilde{e}^a{}_\mu\right)\tilde{e}^b{}_\nu \delta\omega_\alpha{}^{cd} \ , \tag{13}$$

where

$$D_\beta \tilde{e}^a{}_\mu = \partial_\beta \tilde{e}^a{}_\mu + \omega_\beta{}^{ab}\tilde{e}_{b\mu} \qquad \text{and} \qquad \tilde{e}^a{}_\mu = (f')^{1/2} e^a{}_\mu \ . \tag{14}$$

The variation of the Dirac Lagrangian gives

$$\delta L_D = \frac{1}{2} ie \bar{\Psi}_e \gamma^\alpha \Sigma_{cd} \Psi_e \delta\omega_\alpha{}^{cd} \ . \tag{15}$$

Now use the identities

$$\Sigma_{cd} = -\frac{1}{2}\gamma_5 \epsilon_{cdab}\Sigma^{ab} \ , \qquad \gamma^a = \frac{1}{6}\epsilon^{abcd}\gamma_5\gamma_b\gamma_c\gamma_d \ , \tag{16}$$

$(\gamma_5)^2 = I$ and $\{\gamma_5, \gamma_\mu\} = 0$ to write the variation of the Dirac Lagrangian as

$$\delta L_D = \frac{i}{24}\epsilon^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta}\epsilon_{abcd}\bar{\Psi}_e \gamma_\mu\gamma_\nu\gamma_\beta \ \Sigma^{ab}\Psi_e \delta\omega_\alpha{}^{cd} \ . \tag{17}$$

Comparison of (13) and (17) gives

$$\left(D_{[\beta}\tilde{e}^{[a}{}_{\mu}\right)\tilde{e}^{b]}{}_{\nu]} = \frac{i\kappa^2}{12}\bar{\Psi}_e\gamma_{[\beta}\gamma_\mu\gamma_{\nu]}\Sigma^{ab}\Psi_e \ . \tag{18}$$

Now use

$$\gamma_{[a}\gamma_b\gamma_{c]} = \epsilon_{abcd}\gamma_5\gamma^d \tag{19}$$

to obtain

$$\left(D_{[\beta}\tilde{e}^{[a}{}_{\mu}\right)\tilde{e}^{b]}{}_{\nu]} = \frac{i\kappa^2}{12}e\epsilon_{\beta\mu\nu\sigma}\bar{\Psi}_e\gamma_5\gamma^\sigma\Sigma^{ab}\Psi_e \ . \tag{20}$$

By contracting over $\tilde{e}_b{}^\nu$ and then over $\tilde{e}_a{}^\mu$ and by using

$$\gamma_\mu\Sigma_{ab} = \frac{1}{2}\left(e_{a\mu}\gamma_b - e_{b\mu}\gamma_a + e^c{}_\mu\epsilon_{abcd}\gamma_5\gamma^d\right) \tag{21}$$

it can be shown that

$$D_\beta\tilde{e}^a{}_\mu - D_\mu\tilde{e}^a{}_\beta = \frac{i\kappa^2}{4\sqrt{f'}}\bar{\Psi}_e\left[2e\gamma_5\epsilon_{\beta\mu\rho}{}^a\gamma^\rho - \left(e^a{}_\beta\gamma_\mu - e^a{}_\mu\gamma_\beta\right)\right]\Psi_e \ . \tag{22}$$

From this and the second tetrad postulate it is easy to show that the spacetime torsion is non vanishing. Now define

$$\tilde{\omega}_\mu{}^{ab} = \omega_\mu{}^{ab}(\tilde{e}) + K_\mu{}^{ab} \tag{23}$$

where $K_\mu{}^{ab}$ is the contorsion tensor, $\omega_\mu{}^{ab}(\tilde{e})$ is given by (3) with $e^a{}_\mu$ replaced by $\tilde{e}^a{}_\mu$ and $\tilde{\omega}_\mu{}^{ab}$ is the spin connection that appears in the second tetrad postulate (4) along with $\tilde{e}^a{}_\mu$. From (22) and the first tetrad postulate we find

$$K_{\mu a\nu} - K_{\nu a\mu} = \frac{i\kappa^2}{4f'}\bar{\Psi}_e\left[2\gamma_5\epsilon_{\mu\nu\rho a}\gamma^\rho - (e_{a\mu}\gamma_\nu - e_{a\nu}\gamma_\mu)\right]\Psi_e \ . \tag{24}$$

Using the identity

$$K_{\mu a\nu} = \frac{1}{2}\left[(K_{\mu a\nu} - K_{\nu a\mu}) + (K_{a\mu\nu} - K_{\nu\mu a}) + (K_{a\nu\mu} - K_{\mu\nu a})\right] \tag{25}$$

gives

$$K_\mu{}^{ab} = \frac{i\kappa^2}{4f'}\bar{\Psi}_e\left[e\gamma_5\epsilon_\mu{}^{ab}{}_\rho\gamma^\rho - \left(e^a{}_\mu\gamma^b - e^b{}_\mu\gamma^a\right)\right]\Psi_e \ . \tag{26}$$

Now from the first and second tetrad postulates we have

$$\tilde{\Gamma}^\alpha_{\mu\nu} = \Gamma^\alpha_{\mu\nu}(h) + K_\mu{}^\alpha{}_\nu \ , \tag{27}$$

where $h_{\mu\nu} = f' g_{\mu\nu}$ is the metric associated with the tetrad $\tilde{e}^a{}_\mu$ and $\tilde{\Gamma}^\alpha_{\mu\nu}$ is the connection that appears in the second tetrad postulate (4) along with $\tilde{e}^a{}_\mu$. In terms of the original metric we have

$$\Gamma^\alpha_{\mu\nu} = \Gamma^\alpha_{\mu\nu}(g) + H^\alpha_{\mu\nu} \ , \tag{28}$$

where

$$H^{\alpha}_{\mu\nu} = \delta^{\alpha}_{(\mu}\nabla_{\nu)} \ln f^{'} - \frac{1}{2} g_{\mu\nu}\nabla^{\alpha} \ln f^{'} + K_{\mu}{}^{\alpha}{}_{\nu} \tag{29}$$

and ∇_{μ} is defined with respect to the metric $g_{\mu\nu}$.

In his paper (see equation (19)) Flanagan argues that the connection is always on-shell compatible with some metric $e^{2\chi}g_{\mu\nu}$ with

$$H^{\alpha}_{\mu\nu} = \delta^{\alpha}_{(\mu}\nabla_{\nu)}\chi - \frac{1}{2} g_{\mu\nu}\nabla^{\alpha}\chi \; . \tag{30}$$

We see that this is no longer true if Fermions are present in the theory (note that the $ln\chi$ that appears in his paper has been replaced by 2χ, as is appropriate for the metric $e^{2\chi}g_{\mu\nu}$). In the tetrad formulation we find analogous results. Set

$$\omega_{\mu}{}^{ab} = \omega_{\mu}{}^{ab}(e) + h_{\mu}{}^{ab} \tag{31}$$

and we find that

$$h_{\mu}{}^{ab} = \frac{1}{2}\left[e^{a}{}_{\mu}D^{b} \ln f^{'} - e^{b}{}_{\mu}D^{a} \ln f^{'}\right] + K_{\mu}{}^{ab} \; . \tag{32}$$

Instead of the ansatz (30) we might try

$$h_{\mu}{}^{ab} = \left[e^{a}{}_{\mu}D^{b}\chi - e^{b}{}_{\mu}D^{a}\chi\right] + \frac{i\kappa^2}{4} e^{-2\chi}\bar{\Psi}_{e}\left[e\gamma_{5}\epsilon_{\mu}{}^{ab}{}_{\rho}\gamma^{\rho} - \left(e^{a}{}_{\mu}\gamma^{b} - e^{b}{}_{\mu}\gamma^{a}\right)\right]\Psi_{e} \; , \tag{33}$$

where all factors of $f^{'}(R)$ in (32) have been replaced by $e^{2\chi}$. It is important to note that the matter Lagrangian also contains χ and it derivatives. This leads to a very different and much more complicated theory than the one discussed by Flanagan. The next step in his argument involves transforming the action to the Einstein frame and showing that the resulting non minimally coupled terms are ruled out experimentally. Since I do not agree with this approach (see [2]) I will stop here and not proceed any further.

One final comment. Flanagan uses the well known equivalence theorems to argue that the S matrix is invariant under nonlinear local field redefinitions of $g_{\mu\nu}$ and Ψ_e. However, these theorems apply to fields on a fixed Minkowski background spacetime and there is no guarantee that they apply to the metric, which determines the spacetime structure.

Acknowledgements

I would like to thank Éanna Flanagan for helpful discussions concerning the Palatini form of 1/R gravity.

References

[1] D.N. Vollick, Phys. Rev. D68, 063510 (2003), astro-ph/0306630

[2] D.N. Vollick, Class. Quant. Grav. 21, 3813 (2004), gr-qc/0312041

[3] É.É. Flanagan, Phys. Rev. Lett. 92, 071101 (2004), astro-ph/0308111

[4] É.É. Flanagan, Class. Quant. Grav. 21, 3817 (2004), gr-qc/0403063

[5] J.L.Tonry et al., astro-ph/0305008

[6] S. Perlmutter et al., Ap.J. 517, 565 (1999), astro-ph/9812133

[7] A.G. Riess et al., Astron. J. 116, 1009 (1998), astro-ph/9805201

[8] S. Perlmutter et al., Bull. Am. Astron. Soc. 29, 1351 (1997), astro-ph/9812473

[9] C.L. Bennett et al., Ap. J. Suppl. 148, 1 (2003), astro-ph/0302207

[10] C.B. Netterfield et al., astro-ph/0104460

[11] N.W. Halverson et al., Ap.J. 568, 38 (2002), astro-ph/0104489

[12] A.H. Jaffe et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 86, 3475 (2001), astro-ph/0007333

[13] A.E. Lange et al., Phys. Rev. D 63, 042001 (2001)

[14] A. Melchiorri et al., Ap.J. 563, L63 (2000), astro-ph/9911445

[15] S. Capozziello, S. Carloni and A. Troisi, "Recent Research Developments in Astronomy an Astrophysics"-RSP/AA/21-2003, astro-ph/0303041

[16] S.M. Carroll, V. Duvvuri, M. Trodden and M. Turner, astro-ph/0306438

[17] P. van Nieuwenhuizen, Phys. Reports 68, 189 (1981)